

CF-1000

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATISGARH)

W. P. NO.2451 OF 2002

PETITIONER

Krishna Murari Mishra, S/o
Shri Siya Ram Mishra, aged
about 55 years, Occupation
Service, presently posted as
Deputy Director In-Charge,
Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi
Vipanan Board, Divisional
Office, Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

P. R. No. 2644/02
Presented by Shri. Anil Kumar
dated 20.11.02

1. State of Chhattisgarh thru'
Secretary, Department of
Agriculture, Mantralaya,
D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
2. Chhattisgarh Rajya Krishi
Vipanan Board, Purani Ganj
Mandi Parisar, Raipur (C.G.)
Through its Managing
Director, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Managing Director, Chhattis-
garh Rajya Krishi Vipanan
Board, Purani Ganj Mandi
Parisar, Raipur (C.G.)
4. The Joint Director, Chhattis-
garh Rajya Krishi Vipanan
Board, Purani Ganj Mandi
Parisar, Raipur (C.G.)
5. S.R.Netam, Secretary Krishi
Upaj Mandi Samiti, Bilaspur
Chhattisgarh.



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE, CERTIORARI,
MANDAMUS PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR
WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2451 सन् 2002

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>15.6.2005</u> Shri Afroj Khan, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.1. Shri R.S.Marhas, counsel for respondents No. 2,3 & 4. Shri Aman Kesharwani, counsel for respondent No.5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application for withdrawal of the petition was filed, but inadvertently, the case number was wrongly written as 2541/2002, whereas the present one is the writ petition No. 2451/2002. Office is directed to trace the application and keep it on record of W.P. No., 2451/2002. Learned counsel for the petitioner to contact the concerned Dealing Assistant and ~~work~~ correct the case number in the application filed by him on 28.5.2005 by filing No. 4157/2005. Since a prayer for withdrawal of the petition is not opposed by the counsel for the respondents, the prayer is allowed. The petition is permitted to be withdrawn. It is dismissed as withdrawn.	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge